



---

## Association for the Promotion of Campus Activities

# Playing Well With Others: Dealing with Conflict and Drama

Dave Kelly | America's Student Leadership Trainer<sup>SM</sup>

---

**Sources of Conflict** (write down sources of conflict in organizations, relationships, class, work, etc)

---

---

---

---

## Civil Discourse

According to American Social Psychologist and Professor at Swarthmore College (PA) Kenneth J. Gergen, civil discourse contains these components:

1. Requires \_\_\_\_\_ of the other participants
2. Does not diminish the other's \_\_\_\_\_ worth nor questions their good  
\_\_\_\_\_
3. Avoids \_\_\_\_\_, direct \_\_\_\_\_, or excessive \_\_\_\_\_
4. Requires \_\_\_\_\_ and an appreciation of the other participant's  
\_\_\_\_\_
5. **Bonus** (one of Dave's): Keep \_\_\_\_\_ in check and \_\_\_\_\_!

## Modes of Managing Conflict

1. \_\_\_\_\_ = \_\_\_\_\_  
This style is characterized by low assertiveness of one's own interests and low cooperation with the other party. This is the "hiding the head in the sand" response. Although avoidance can provide some short-term stress reduction from the rigors of conflict, it does not really change the situation. Thus, its effectiveness is often limited. Avoidance does, however, have its place if the issue is trivial, people need to cool down, or the opponent is very powerful and hostile.
2. \_\_\_\_\_ = \_\_\_\_\_  
Cooperating with the other party's wishes while not asserting one's own interests. If people see accommodation as a sign of weakness, it does not bode well for future interactions. However, it can be an effective reaction when you are wrong, the issue is more important to the other party, or you want to build goodwill.

3. \_\_\_\_\_ = \_\_\_\_\_  
 This style tends to maximize assertiveness for your own position and minimize cooperative responses. In doing so, you tend to frame the conflict in strict win-lose terms. Full priority is given to your own goals, facts, or procedures. The competing style holds promise when you have a lot of power, you are sure of your facts, the situation is truly win-lose, or you will not have to interact with the other party in the future.
  
4. \_\_\_\_\_ = \_\_\_\_\_  
 This style combines intermediate levels of assertiveness and cooperation. Thus, it is itself a compromise between pure competition and pure accommodation. Compromise places a premium on determining rules of exchange between the two parties. Also, compromise does not always result in the most creative response to conflict. Compromise is not so useful for resolving conflicts that stem from power to asymmetry, because the weaker party may have little to offer the stronger party. However, it is a sensible reaction to conflict stemming from scarce resources.
  
5. \_\_\_\_\_ = \_\_\_\_\_  
 Both assertiveness and cooperation are maximized with the hope that integrative agreement will occur that fully satisfies the interests of both parties. Emphasis is put on a win-win resolution in which there is no assumption that someone must lose something. Rather it is assumed that the solution to the conflict can leave both parties in a better condition. Ideally, collaboration occurs as a kind of problem-solving exercise. It probably works best when the conflict is not intense and when each party has information that is useful to the other.

Prepared by Dr. Jenny Hughes, Agnes Scott College, Decatur, GA

### Dave's Five Concepts

1. If you have a conflict with someone and you do not take it \_\_\_\_\_ that \_\_\_\_\_, then it can't be \_\_\_\_\_.
2. Deal with conflict \_\_\_\_\_-on-\_\_\_\_\_: \_\_\_\_\_ in public, \_\_\_\_\_ in private.
3. Use the three \_\_\_\_\_ system: \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_.
4. Learn to \_\_\_\_\_ the \_\_\_\_\_ from the \_\_\_\_\_.
5. Confront the \_\_\_\_\_ with a \_\_\_\_\_.